



# MINUTES

## FORMAL MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

City Hall, 206 S. Main Street, Council Chambers

Monday, August 22, 2022 - 5:30 p.m.

---

1. **CALL TO ORDER**  
Mayor Knox H. White

2. **INVOCATION**  
Councilmember Russell Stall

3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

4. **ROLL CALL**  
The following members of City Council were in attendance: Mayor Knox White, John DeWorken, Lillian Flemming, Ken Gibson, Wil Brasington, Russell Stall, and Dorothy Dowe.

5. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**  
August 8, 2022; Approved as submitted

6. **COMMUNICATIONS / ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL**  
None

7. **CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS COUNCIL**

**Susan Crooks, Walt's Waltz, 120 St. Augustine Drive, Greenville,** spoke regarding a non-profit organization she founded following the passing of her son to reduce suffering and stigma surrounding mental health struggles. Ms. Crooks invited the city of Greenville to be the first municipality to become a Walt's Waltz Stigma-Free Zone.

**Patricia Kilburg, 1279 Pendleton Street,** spoke in support of Item 15a stating the plans are thorough and sensitive to preserving the character and enriching the social fabric of the neighborhood. Ms. Kilburg also stated as an artist, artists need affordable workspace which the project will offer.

**Danielle Fontaine, 236 Rhett Street,** spoke in support of Item 15a stating she would like the village to become a true village that depends on its own beating heart. Ms. Fontaine also stated she believes the village is experiencing an economic boom which is coming from people visiting the village and explained the village needs to be able to support itself.

**Beth McPhee, The Anchorage, 586 Perry Avenue,** spoke in support of Item 15a stating while she and her husband are proud of the business they have created, the original vision of their business has not worked given the challenges of the neighborhood. Ms. McPhee urged Council to consider the impact of the project and its investment to the neighborhood.

**Rakan Draz, 100 Arrington Avenue, Greenville,** spoke in support of Item 15a and to his relations and network in the neighborhood. Mr. Draz stated in order for the village to continue to thrive, an equilibrium must be reached, and the equilibrium at this time is found in the project and the density it will bring to the area.

**T.J. Rumler, 16 Burdette Street**, commented on living in and serving the West Greenville neighborhood and on the differences between West Greenville versus the Village. Mr. Rumler stated while he is not against change, the project in Item 15a will change the fabric of the community. Mr. Rumler requested consideration be given to honoring the neighborhood's history and its heartbeat.

**Matt Flege, 131 Falls Street**, spoke on behalf of Upstate Greenways and Trails Alliance in support of the streetscape in Item 15a stating the project is a good example of providing a safe environment for pedestrians and cyclists. Mr. Flege also stated having a development that feeds into future trails will be an asset, which the developer has committed to do.

**Julian Loue, Rise Bakery, 1264 Pendleton Street**, spoke in support of Item 15a stating while the area has seen growth, a smaller customer base is actually in the Village. Mr. Loue also stated the development will bring more people into the Village, which will be better for the neighborhood as a whole

**Virginia Willard, 25 Draper Street, Greenville**, referred to living in the neighborhood and stated while she anticipates development, she would like to see it happen responsibly and in keeping with its historic character. Ms. Willard expressed her opposition to Item 15a stating the project is too massive and does not fit into the character of the neighborhood.

**Lynne Nachman, 44 Burdette Street**, spoke in opposition to Item 15a and stated she has lived in Greenville for over 60 years and has seen a lot of development. Ms. Nachman referred to preserving some of Greenville' character and asked Council to save the integrity of the Village or it may be another regret.

**Tom King, 34 Burdette Street**, spoke in opposition to Item 15a and referred to moving to West Greenville in 2018. Mr. King stated the scale of the development will change the Village, and the Village should not become an extension of downtown. Mr. King requested that Council deny the rezoning, delay decisions on major projects until they can be evaluated under the new development code, and consider that all nodes are not the same. Mr. King expressed his support for the annexation, but referred to the need for the right project in the location.

**James Hester, 608 Easley Bridge Road, Greenville**, spoke in support of Item 15a, referring to his food business started in the neighborhood, and stated if the project is approved, his business will return home to West Greenville.

**Traci Martin, 1279 Pendleton Street**, spoke in support of Item 15a and referred to her art studio in the Village. Ms. Martin referred to artists being engage in the project and the developer's intent to maintain the feel of the art district and to support the artists. Ms. Martin commented on the need for more residents to support the studios.

**Cherington Shucker, 36 Burdette Street**, spoke in support of the annexation in Item 15a, but opposed the rezoning stating the development will accelerate more minorities leaving the City. Ms. Shucker referred to maintaining the integrity of the historic community and suggested creating housing for middle class families, artists, and small business owners. Ms. Shucker encouraged a thoughtful mixed-used development to help with the revitalization of West Greenville, fuel the growth, and value the small businesses.

**Darin Gehrke, 36 Burdette Street**, spoke in opposition to the rezoning of Item 15a and stated the project will overwhelm the Village. Mr. Gehrke shared he is in favor of dense, mixed-use development that is appropriate in character and scale. Mr. Gehrke stated the City needs to make sure the new developments are enhancing the surroundings and that they integrate and celebrate the City's history. Mr. Gehrke asked Council to honor the Planning Commission's decision and deny the rezoning.

**Kenzie Biggins, 28 Burdette Street**, spoke in opposition to Item 15a and stated she is opposed to mass and density and expressing that the project is not right for the neighborhood. Ms. Biggins stated the neighborhood supports development and density, but they want it done in a smart and meaningful way that is not disrespectful to a historical neighborhood.

**Ryan Johnston, 6AM City**, spoke in support of Item 15a and on his involvement in the neighborhood. Mr. Johnston referred to the commercial property owners who have signed a letter of support for the project and commented on the historic nature of properties required under GVL2040, limiting the amount of property available to handle density.

**Margaret McGinty, 104 Mason Street, Greenville**, spoke in opposition to Item 15a stating the project will consume green space used for community activity. Ms. McGinty also stated the project does not belong in the Village and the property deserves a well-considered plan. Ms. McGinty encouraged Council not to jump on the first thing that comes along.

Councilmember Brasington joined the meeting.

**Barbara Castaneda, 1279 Pendleton Street**, spoke in support of Item 15a, referring to discussions with the developer and to the redevelopment of art districts in other municipalities. Ms. Castaneda stated the developer shares her vision of providing more customers and more space for arts and entrepreneurs to set up their businesses.

**Steve Pace, Pace Jewelers, 1250 Pendleton Street**, spoke in support of Item 15a and commented on steady improvements in the business community over the past five years. Mr. Pace stated business is better and West Greenville is back to how it was when he began working at his store 50 years ago. Mr. Pace also stated the project is a sound concept and being able to walk from home to the businesses is a win-win for everyone.

**Alan Mitchell, 212 Rebecca Street**, spoke regarding Item 15a and encouraged Council to listen to the residents and come up with a plan that is workable for both residents and businesses and that makes the Woven project a workable project.

**County Councilwoman Xanthene Norris, 209 Ackley Road**. In her absence, Mr. Mitchell read a statement by County Councilmember Xanthene Norris stating she represents the county residents impacted by the proposed development and expressing her opposition to the project in Item 15a. Ms. Norris's statement commented on residents' interest in missing middle housing, owner occupied retail, and gentle density. Ms. Norris's statement urged Council to vote no on the project.

Councilmember DeWorken exited the meeting.

**Andres Camargo, Unlocked Coffee Roasters, 556 Perry Avenue,** provided comments involving his business in the Village and the decision he and his wife have made to stay because they believe it is an area of diversity. Mr. Camargo referred to the need for foot traffic and stated if the project in Item 15a does not move forward, it will provide a negative message to the businesses and future development.

Councilmember DeWorken rejoined the meeting.

**Inez Morris, 4 Queen Alley,** spoke in opposition to Item 15a stating that having the project will change the future of housing in West Greenville and will continue to increase traffic throughout the neighborhood, pushing residents out. Ms. Morris asked Council to say no to the project.

**Craig Kinley, The Growler Haus, 12 Lois Avenue,** spoke in support of Item 15a and advised his business has been in the Village since 2015. Mr. Olson stated he looks towards a collaborative and considered approach or the Village may slide back to how it was 10 to 15 years ago.

**Jack Olson, 25 Draper Street, Greenville,** spoke in opposition to Item 15a, comparing Portland, Oregon, to Greenville and commenting on the price paid for Portland's growth. Mr. Olson stated that the mill villages, the mills and the water towers make Greenville unique to an outsider. Mr. Olson encouraged Council to say no to the project and asked, "What are you willing to destroy to accommodate growth?"

**Brian Schick, 1121 Tsali Circle, Greenville (applicant),** commented on projects developed by Woodfield Development and to the decision of residents who have moved from somewhere else to Greenville. Mr. Schick complimented city staff on their assistance and referred to the GVL 2040 plan calling for greater density. In addressing some concerns, Mr. Schick stated the following: (1) the developer will work with the neighborhood to make it cool and funky; (2) the developer is willing to take the fifth floor off the building where it meets the edge of the neighborhood, and (3) the developer has obtained a purchase and sales agreement for 10 Saco Street, which will allow the current family to live there until they vacate the home, then enlarge the pocket park from 3,000 to 9,000 feet allowing for more buffer.

**8. PRESENTATION**

None

**9. PUBLIC HEARING**

None

**10. APPOINTMENTS – Boards and Commissions**

None

**CONSENT AGENDA**

*There will be no discussion of Consent Agenda items unless a Council member so requests in which event the item in question will be considered separately.*

Councilmember Brasington moved, seconded by Councilmember DeWorken, to approve second and final reading of agenda items 11a and 11b of the Consent Agenda. The motion carried unanimously.

**11. UNFINISHED BUSINESS – (Ordinances – Second and Final Reading)**

- a. Ordinance to amend an agreement with CommunityWorks for the Home Buyer Assistance Program to provide assistance to all qualified individuals in Greenville County  
*(Presented by Community Development Manager Rebecca Edwards)*
- b. Ordinance to approve a Fourth Amendment to Stadium Development Lease Agreement (REVISED)  
*(Presented by Office of Management and Budget Director Matt Efird)*

**12. NEW BUSINESS – (Ordinance – First Reading)**

None

**13. NEW BUSINESS – (Resolutions – First and Final Reading)**

None

<b>REGULAR AGENDA</b>
-----------------------

**14. UNFINISHED BUSINESS – (Ordinances – Second and Final Reading)**

- a. Ordinance to authorize the use of appropriate \$774,081 in previously appropriated funds for Greenways and Trails (PR4173) in the Capital Projects and General Fund for Construction of the Cleveland Connector/Traxler Trail (REVISED)  
*(Presented by Office of Management and Budget Director Matt Efird)*

Councilmember Dowe moved, seconded by Councilmember Stall, to approve second and final reading. The motion carried unanimously.

**15. NEW BUSINESS – (Ordinances – First Reading)**

- a. Ordinance to annex approximately 1.387 acres of real property, annex approximately 0.32 acre of adjacent right-of-way, and rezone approximately 1.54 acres located on Pendleton Street, Traction Street, Saco Street, and Smith Street, and to provide the zoning designation of PD, Planned development district (Tax Map Numbers 0118001300200, 0118001300300, 0118001300501, 0118001300500, 0118001302800, 0118001302700, 0118001302600, 0118001302500, 0118001302400, 0118001400200, 0118001400300, and 0118001400400) (AX-11-2022 and Z-10-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Stall moved, seconded by Councilmember Brasington, to approve first reading.

Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin provided an overview of the Woven project and stated the staff's recommendation of approval for the annexation and rezoning of the property with staff modifications and conditions for the planned development. Ms. Lavrin stated that the Planning Commission voted twice at the public hearing, voting first to approve the item which failed 1-5 and voting second to recommend denial of the item which passed 5-1. Ms. Lavrin also stated that among the reasons for recommending denial were the mass, scale, setback and density of the proposed planned development. Ms. Lavrin advised that no concerns were expressed regarding the annexation portion of the agenda item.

Ms. Lavrin stated if Council were to vote to approve the project, the Ordinance as written includes the comments and conditions from the staff report including (1) require final development plan approval by the Planning Commission, (2) assurances regarding the retail incubator component, (3) architectural refinements, (4) work with staff to integrate street scape, public plaza, new public park and project comment in with the community and existing village, and (5) if no progress is made within three years, the City will initiate a rezoning amendment to an appropriate zoning district under the proposed development code. Ms. Lavrin restated that staff would ask that Council include those conditions in the Ordinance if Council were to move forward.

Councilmember Brasington asked if the architectural details would include a recommendation from the Design Review Board. Ms. Lavrin responded that staff would want to have DRB members work with them on the colors, architecture design, and mass, height and scale of the building. Councilmember Brasington asked if there is any element that is in conflict with previous plans involving West Greenville. Ms. Lavrin responded that density is in conflict. Councilmember Brasington asked if there is any feedback given by the code consultants on the project based on the proposed development code. Ms. Lavrin responded that the consultants' initial feedback was that the fifth story should be removed and it should be rescaled.

Councilmember Gibson asked about the process of obtaining additional affordable housing. Ms. Lavrin responded that unless the developer could make the pro forma work with the current funding, it would require the approval of a multi-county industrial park approved by City Council and County Council. Regarding density, Ms. Lavrin stated the density is over what was recommended in the GVL 2040 plan, which should be less than 90 units. Councilmember Gibson asked if the proposed project could be reconfigured to provide more of a setback. Ms. Lavrin responded that a setback or a step back could be considered.

Councilmember Gibson asked about the process of the item returning to the Planning Commission. Ms. Lavrin explained that upon approval by Council, the developer would have to bring the final development plan to the Planning Commission for review and approval prior to permitting. Ms. Lavrin stated the Planning Commission would have to work within the confines of Council's Ordinance and advised that the item would not return to Council. Councilmember Gibson referred to the need for Council to know what they want in the project prior to approval.

Ms. Lavrin presented the steps available to Council as provided in the zoning code and suggested if the item is remanded back to the Planning Commission that Council

give specific guidance to the Planning Commission and staff as to what they would like studied. Councilmember Gibson asked if the developer wanted to do 10% percent affordable housing at 60% percent, could the developer add it to the project if remanded back to the Planning Commission. Ms. Lavrin responded that they could and stated she would also add that it be deed restricted to reflect such and that it be monitored through the City's Community Development division. Ms. Lavrin also stated if the developer offered to add it, then it could be incorporated into the Ordinance as part of the conditions of the planned development and it would then be enforceable.

Councilmember Gibson asked if a traffic mitigation study was performed as part of the process. Engineering Services Director Clint Link responded a traffic study was submitted and reviewed, and it was determined to have acceptable levels of service.

Councilmember Dowe asked if the buildings were monolithic with a passage way between them. Ms. Lavrin responded yes and stated staff believes it needs some additional setbacks and that it is beyond the density of what the GVL2040 plan recommends. Councilmember Dowe referred to a recent presentation on the proposed development code and to the transitions and stated the perspective of the building is going to be significantly different from the view at the bottom of the hill. Councilmember Dowe asked if staff has questioned the developer on how you develop a property of this size on a two lane road and what construction will do to the businesses over a three or four year period. Councilmember Dowe asked if the building could be phased, and Ms. Lavrin responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Flemming shared her concerns with the size of the streets, maintaining the history of West Greenville, and creating its future. Councilmember Flemming stated that the City must stop straining the residents that live in the neighborhood with the construction and figure out a better way.

Councilmember Gibson moved for a full remand to the Planning Commission. Mayor White reminded Council of the main motion on the floor.

Councilmember Stall referred to the Woven project being the first development using the GVL2040 plan and stated he would be disappointed if Council did not embrace and support it. Councilmember Gibson responded advising that the Alliance project dealt with the GVL2040 plan involving a node and corridor. Councilmember Gibson stated the difference between both projects is the Alliance developers spoke with the neighborhood early on and made substantial changes that the entire neighborhood could embrace and support.

Councilmember Gibson expressed his support for affordable housing and stated 80% percent does nothing for people who live in that community and are being forced out. Councilmember Gibson stated the reason the GVL2040 plan says to put density on corridors is to protect the neighborhood and its characteristics, however, because the project has density and the GVL2040 plan supports density on nodes and corridors does not mean Council is required or obligated to support the plan.

Councilmember Dowe responded taking great exception to Councilmember Gibson's statement and stated the GVL2040 plan calls for 30 units per acre and for nodes to

organically develop because they are supported by the corridors between them. Councilmember Dowe referred to Pendleton Street being a two lane road and not a major corridor.

Councilmember Dowe commented on being at the Planning Commission meeting and on the multiple opportunities provided to the developer to pull the project before the vote, to work with staff regarding the step back of the top story, and on efforts to make the project better, which were all declined. Councilmember Dowe stated she cannot imagine passing this item if the project does not match the proposed development code which is a few months away from being completed and adopted.

Councilmember Brasington offered amendments to the Ordinance. Councilmember Gibson stated he believes the more efficient course of action would be to vote on a full remand prior to considering amendments. Councilmember Brasington acknowledged the main motion and moved, seconded by Councilmember Stall, to amend the Ordinance as follows:

1. To include all conditions and recommendations requested by staff in the staff's report.
2. To direct the developer to eliminate the top floor, reducing density, achieving a setback and creating a further buffer for the benefit of the neighbor.
3. To triple the size of the pocket park.
4. To incorporate the recommendations of the Design Review Board regarding the architecture refinements.
5. If included in a planned development, to include anything that can be mandated as to traffic mitigation.
6. To require developer to work with and through the Community Development Department to monitor, at 50% percent below market rate, and with and through the Economic Development Department to identify tenants for that use.

Mayor White suggested taking the amendments presented as a bundle.

Councilmember Flemming asked about the list of modifications and conditions recommended by staff. Ms. Lavrin repeated the five major conditions as presented at the beginning of the discussion. Ms. Lavrin stated that the conditions should be included in the Ordinance to make sure the City is protected in the future. Following the discussion, Councilmember Dowe stated she would be inclined to postpone the matter. Mayor White recommended voting on the amendment.

*The motion to amend carried unanimously.*

Councilmember Gibson moved for a full remand to the Planning Commission with instructions for the developer to work with the neighborhood and the Planning

Commission on minimizing the impact of the various reasons that the Planning Commission denied the project in a 5-1 vote. City Attorney Leigh Paoletti reminded Council of the main motion as amended on the floor. Mayor White suggested Councilmember Gibson table the main motion as amended.

Councilmember Gibson moved, seconded by Councilmember Dowe, to table the main motion as amended. *The motion failed, with 3 in favor and 4 against.*

Councilmember Gibson referred to the option to remand previously stated by Ms. Lavrin and requested the procedure. Ms. Paoletti responded that the motion to remand would need to be the original motion on the matter. Councilmember Gibson stated his intent is to send the item back to the Planning Commission for review and that he wants all the things the developer refused to do at the Planning Commission to be considered by the Planning Commission. Councilmember Gibson added that after the Planning Commission considers those changes, he wants the matter to come back to Council for a vote.

Councilmember Brasington asked if there is a way to include further amendments that would insure the dialogue and outcome Councilmember Gibson is requesting. Ms. Lavrin responded by reciting the requirements affecting a planned development.

Councilmember Flemming moved to amend the main motion to include that the Planning Commission approve the final development plan and not the staff. Ms. Lavrin responded that the motion was already approved as previously moved by Councilmember Brasington. Councilmember Flemming suggested allowing that condition to stand alone.

Councilmember Gibson stated all the changes discussed are major deviations that the Planning Commission will be unable to do. Ms. Lavrin requested a moment to confer with the City Attorney.

Mayor White suggested taking a 10 minute recess. With no objection, a recess was granted at 7:56 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 8:04 p.m.

Mayor White reminded Council of the main motion as amended on the floor. Ms. Lavrin stated she asked for the break to determine a path if Council were to vote on the item at first reading and remand it back to the Planning Commission for further review prior to second and final reading. Ms. Lavrin advised that the process would not be permissible under the rules. Ms. Lavrin stated that any conditions or amendments added tonight would be included in the Ordinance for second and final reading. Ms. Lavrin added that additional amendments could be made during second and final reading.

Councilmember Dowe asked if the matter went back to the Planning Commission, would it come back to Council again. Ms. Lavrin responded that the original motion would have to be rescinded, then a motion could be made to remand it back to the

Planning Commission. Ms. Lavrin stated at this point, it cannot go back to the Planning Commission.

After discussion, the motion as amended carried 4-3, with Councilmembers Flemming, Gibson, and Dowe opposed.

- b. Ordinance to annex approximately 1.57 acres of real property and 0.121 acre of adjacent right-of-way located at Churchhill Circle and to provide the zoning designation of R-9, Single-family residential district (A portion of Tax Map number 0390000100113)(AX-14-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Attorney Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Brasington moved, seconded by Councilmember Dowe, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

- c. Ordinance to annex approximately 0.197 acre of real property and 0.053 acre of adjacent right-of-way located at East Pine Lake Circle and to provide the zoning designation of RM-2, Single-family and multifamily residential district (Tax Map Number 0421000104800)(AX-15-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Attorney Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Stall moved, seconded by Councilmember Gibson, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

- d. Ordinance to annex appropriately 0.221 acre of real property and 0.061 acre of adjacent right-of-way located at West Pine Lake Circle and to provide the zoning designation of RM-2, Single-family and multifamily residential district (Tax Map Number 0421000107800)(AX-16-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Brasington moved, seconded by Councilmember DeWorken, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

- e. Ordinance to annex approximately 0.417 acre of real property and 0.144 acre of adjacent right-of-way located at West Pine Lake Circle and to provide the zoning designation of RM-2, Single-family and multifamily residential district (Tax Map Numbers 0421000202000 and 0421000202100) (AX-17-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Brasington moved, seconded by Councilmember Gibson, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

- f. Ordinance to annex approximately 9.079 acres of real property and 0.068 acre of adjacent right-of-way located at Churchill Circle and to provide the zoning designation of R-6, Single-family residential district (Tax Map Number 0393000200900)(AX-18-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Stall moved, seconded by Councilmember DeWorken, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

- g. Ordinance to annex approximately 12.261 acres of real property and 0.288 acre of adjacent right-of-way located at Ridge Road and to provide the zoning designation of RM-2, Single-family and multifamily residential district (Tax Map Number M011020202400)(AX-21-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember DeWorken moved, seconded by Councilmember Stall, to approve first reading.

Councilmember Dowe asked what fire station would cover the property. Fire Chief Brian Horton responded it would be covered by Verdae and Pleasantburg.

After discussion, the motion carried unanimously.

- h. Ordinance to annex approximately 1.727 acres of real property located at 210 Thurgood Drive and to provide the zoning designation of S-1, Service district (Tax Map Number M011020203601)(AX-22-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Brasington moved, seconded by Councilmember Stall, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

- i. Ordinance to annex approximately 0.08 acre of real property located at Laurens Road and to provide the zoning designation of S-1, Service District (A portion of Tax Map Number M011020203608)(AX-23-2022)  
*(Presented by Assistant City Manager Shannon Lavrin)*

Councilmember Dowe moved, seconded by Councilmember DeWorken, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Lavrin thanked the Planning Department staff for their continued hard work and efforts on annexation.

- j. Ordinance to appropriate \$2,075,000 in the Capital Projects Fund to recognize additional resources for the Unity Park Wetlands Project  
*(Presented by Office of Management and Budget Director Matt Efird)*

Mayor White stated the agenda item has been postponed to a future meeting.

- k. Ordinance to appropriate \$233,918 in the Capital Projects Fund to recognize SCDOT revenues for the Haywood Road Sidewalk Project  
*(Presented by Office of Management and Budget Director Matt Efird)*

Councilmember Brasington moved, seconded by Councilmember Stall, to approve first reading. The motion carried unanimously.

**16. NEW BUSINESS – (Resolution – First and Final Reading)**

None

**17. STAFF REPORTS**

City Manager John McDonough provided information on the following items:

a. Swamp Rabbit Trail Update

Mobility Coordinator Calin Owens provided an update on the project advising there is connectivity from the paperclip project to Richland Way and Cleveland Park. Mr. Owens also advised the pedestrian bridge project is underway with 30% percent design submittal for floodplain modeling anticipated by mid-October.

**18. ADJOURN.** There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 8:18 p.m.

---

KNOX H. WHITE, MAYOR

---

CAMILLA G. PITMAN, MMC, Certified PLS  
CITY CLERK

MEETING NOTICE POSTED AND MEDIA NOTIFIED ON AUGUST 19, 2022.